IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 286 OF 2018

DISTRICT : PUNE

1.	Vijay Vilas Ambole)
2.	Pravin Pandurang Parkar)
3.	Avinash H. Jadhav)
4.	Sanjay D. Gadade)
5.	Satyajit S. Gosawi)
6.	Swapnil U. Pise)
7.	Ajit S. Mane)
8.	Sanjay K. Tagade)
9.	Yuvaraj B. Shinde)
10.	Shrikant S. Mahamuni [Sutar])
11.	Sunil K. Gore)
12.	Javed I. Inamdar)
13.	Tajani V. Madane)
14.	Vasant A. Tirmare)
15.	Chandrakant B. Yadav)
16.	Dhyneshwar S. Adsul)
17.	Mahendra T Patil)
18.	Vikas P. Kaledhonkar	
19.	Gajanan D. Buchade)
	All the applicants are working as	
	Sectional Engineers, under the)
	Respondent no. 2, i.e. Water)
	Resources Department.	
	C/o: Shri Vijay V. Ambole,)
	R/o: Vidhydhan, Plot no. 82,)
	Budhihalkar Nagar, Ring Road,)
	Kalamba, Kolhapur 416 007	Applicants
	Versus	

1.	The State of Maharashtra)
	Through the Presenting Officer,)
	Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,)
	Mumbai.)
2,	The Principal Secretary,)
	Water Resources Department,)
	Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.)
3.	The Principal Secretary,)
	Revenue & Forest Department,)
	[Rehabilitation], Mantralaya,)
	Mumbai 400 032.)

4. The Principal Secretary,)
General Administration Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.)...Respondents

Shri Y.P Deshmukh with Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri P.N Dixit (Vice-Chairman) (A)

DATE : 13.11.2019

<u>O R D E R</u>

1. Heard Shri Y.P Deshmukh with Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. During the final hearing today, learned advocate for the applicants submitted that persons who were similarly situated along with the applicants had submitted representation on 29.9.2015 as an Association. A few of these applicants had also filed O.A 1051/2015 before this Tribunal. On 6.8.2019, the matter was finally heard and decided with following directions.

"4. In view of the above, Original Application is disposed of with following directions:-

- (a) Respondent no. 2 is directed to consider the representations dated 19.1.2014, 8.2.2014 and 29.9.2015 made by the applicants and shall pass the appropriate order in accordance with rules, within four months from today.
- (b) Decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the applicants within two weeks thereafter.
- (c) If the applicants felt aggrieved by the decision they may avail legal remedy in accordance to law.
- (d) No order as to costs."

3. Learned advocate for the applicants submits that the matter being identical and the applicants are also part of the Association, same directions may kindly be issued.

4. Learned Presenting Officer does not dispute the issue.

5. In view of the foregoing, the present Original Application is disposed of with following directions:-

- (a) Respondent no. 2 is directed to consider the representation dated 29.9.2015 made by the applicants and shall pass the appropriate order in accordance with rules, within three months from today.
- (b) Decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the applicants within two weeks thereafter.
- (c) If the applicants felt aggrieved by the decision they may avail legal remedy in accordance to law.
- (d) No order as to costs

Sd/-(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)

Place : Mumbai Date : 13.12.2019 Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

D:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2019\Dec 2019\O.A 286.18, Regularizationof services, SB. 12.19.doc